

METHODS AND COMPOSITIONS FOR DIAGNOSIS AND TREATMENT OF IRON  
OVERLOAD DISEASES AND IRON DEFICIENCY DISEASES

5

The present application is a continuation-in-part of  
co-pending United States application serial no. 08/876,010,  
filed June 13, 1997, which is incorporated by reference herein  
in its entirety.

10

BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

Hereditary hemochromatosis (HH) is a common disease  
characterized by excess iron deposition in the major organs of  
the body (Dadone, M.M. et al. AM. J. Clin. Pathol. 78:196-207  
(1982); Edwards, C.Q. et al. N. Engl. J. Med. 18:1355-1362.  
(1988); McLaren, C.E., et al. Blood 86:2021-2027 (1995);  
Bothwell, T.H. et al., The metabolic and molecular basis of  
inherited disease (ed. C. R. Scriver, E.A.) 2237-2269  
(McGraw-Hill, New York, 1995); Bacon, B.R. et al., Hepatology.  
A textbook of liver disease (eds. Zakim, D. & Boyer, T.D.)  
1439-1472 (W.B. Saunders, Philadelphia, 1996). A candidate  
gene for this disease, HFE, was identified by positional  
cloning (Feder, J.N., et al. Nature Genetics 13:399-408  
(1996)). The gene, a novel member of the MHC class I family,  
was found to have a mutation, cysteine 282 --> tyrosine  
(C282Y), in 83% of patient chromosomes (Feder, J.N., et al.  
Nature Genetics 13:399-408 (1996)). This mutation eliminates  
the ability of HFE to associate with  $\beta_2$ -microglobulin ( $\beta_2m$ ) and  
prevents cell-surface expression (Feder, J.N., et al., J.  
Biol. Chem. 272:14025-14028 (1997)). However, the  
relationship of this class I-like molecule to the regulation  
of iron metabolism has remained obscure.

Thus, an object of the instant invention is to  
provide a molecular basis for the relationship of HFE to iron  
metabolism, and diagnostic and therapeutic agents for the  
treatment of iron overload diseases and iron deficiency  
diseases.

40

## SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

One aspect of the invention is a method of treating an iron overload disease by administering to a patient an HFE polypeptide having the sequence of SEQ ID NO:1,

5 RLLRSHSLHYLFMGASEQDLGLSLFEALGYVDDQLFVFDHESRRVEPRTPW  
VSSRISSQMWLQLSQSLKGWDHMFVDFWTIMENHNHNSKESHTLQVILGCEM  
QEDNSTEGYWKYGYDGDHLEFCPDTLDWRAAEPRAWPTKLEWERHKIRARQ  
NRAYLERDCPAQLQQLLELGRGVLDQQVPPLVKVTHHVTSSVTTLRCRALNY  
10 YPQNITMKWLKDKQPMDAKEFEPKDVLPNGDGTYQGWITLAVPPGEEQRYTC  
QVEHPGLDQPLIVIWE,

wherein the HFE polypeptide is provided in a complex with full length, wild type human  $\beta_2m$ .

A further aspect of the invention is a composition of an HFE polypeptide having the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:1,

15 RLLRSHSLHYLFMGASEQDLGLSLFEALGYVDDQLFVFDHESRRVEPRTPW  
VSSRISSQMWLQLSQSLKGWDHMFVDFWTIMENHNHNSKESHTLQVILGCEM  
QEDNSTEGYWKYGYDGDHLEFCPDTLDWRAAEPRAWPTKLEWERHKIRARQ  
NRAYLERDCPAQLQQLLELGRGVLDQQVPPLVKVTHHVTSSVTTLRCRALNY  
20 YPQNITMKWLKDKQPMDAKEFEPKDVLPNGDGTYQGWITLAVPPGEEQRYTC  
QVEHPGLDQPLIVIWE,

wherein the HFE polypeptide is provided in a complex with full length, wild type human  $\beta_2m$ .

A further aspect of the invention is a method of treating an iron deficiency disease by administering to a patient an HFE polypeptide, *i.e.*, H63D-HFE mutant, having the sequence of SEQ ID NO:2,

25 RLLRSHSLHYLFMGASEQDLGLSLFEALGYVDDQLFVFDHESRRVEPRTPW  
VSSRISSQMWLQLSQSLKGWDHMFVDFWTIMENHNHNSKESHTLQVILGCEM  
QEDNSTEGYWKYGYDGDHLEFCPDTLDWRAAEPRAWPTKLEWERHKIRARQ  
NRAYLERDCPAQLQQLLELGRGVLDQQVPPLVKVTHHVTSSVTTLRCRALNY  
30 YPQNITMKWLKDKQPMDAKEFEPKDVLPNGDGTYQGWITLAVPPGEEQRYTC  
QVEHPGLDQPLIVIWE,

wherein the HFE polypeptide is provided in a complex with full length, wild type human  $\beta_2m$ .

A further aspect of the invention is a composition of an HFE polypeptide, *i.e.*, H63D-HFE mutant, having the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:2,

5 RLLRSHSLHYLFMGASEQDLGLSLFEALGYVDDQLFVIFYDDESRRVEPRTPW  
VSSRISSQMWLQLSQSLKGWDHMFVDFWTIMENHNHNSKESHTLQVILGCEM  
QEDNSTEGYWKYGYDGQDHLEFCPDTLDWRAAEPRAPWPTKLEWERHKIRARQ  
NRAYLERDCPAQLQQLELGRGVLDQQVPPLVKVTHHVTSSVTTLRCRALNY  
YPQNITMKWLKDKQPMDAKEFEPKDVLPNGDGTYYQGWITLAVPPGEEQRYTC  
QVEHPGLDQPLIVIWE,

wherein the HFE polypeptide is provided in a complex with full length, wild type human  $\beta_2m$ .

10 A further aspect of the invention is a method of treating an iron deficiency disease by administering to a patient an HFE polypeptide, i.e., H111A/H145A-HFE mutant, having the sequence of SEQ ID NO:3,

15 RLLRSHSLHYLFMGASEQDLGLSLFEALGYVDDQLFVIFYDHESRRVEPRTPW  
VSSRISSQMWLQLSQSLKGWDHMFVDFWTIMENHNHNSKESHTLQVILGCEM  
QEDNSTEGYWKYGYDGQDALEFCPDTLDWRAAEPRAPWPTKLEWERHKIRARQ  
NRAYLERDCPAQLQQLELGRGVLDQQVPPLVKVTHHVTSSVTTLRCRALNY  
YPQNITMKWLKDKQPMDAKEFEPKDVLPNGDGTYYQGWITLAVPPGEEQRYTC  
QVEHPGLDQPLIVIWE,

20 wherein the HFE polypeptide is provided in a complex with full length, wild type human  $\beta_2m$ .

A further aspect of the invention is a composition of an HFE polypeptide, i.e., H111A/H145A-HFE mutant, having the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:3,

25 RLLRSHSLHYLFMGASEQDLGLSLFEALGYVDDQLFVIFYDHESRRVEPRTPW  
VSSRISSQMWLQLSQSLKGWDHMFVDFWTIMENHNHNSKESHTLQVILGCEM  
QEDNSTEGYWKYGYDGQDALEFCPDTLDWRAAEPRAPWPTKLEWERHKIRARQ  
NRAYLERDCPAQLQQLELGRGVLDQQVPPLVKVTHHVTSSVTTLRCRALNY  
YPQNITMKWLKDKQPMDAKEFEPKDVLPNGDGTYYQGWITLAVPPGEEQRYTC  
QVEHPGLDQPLIVIWE,

30 wherein the HFE polypeptide is provided in a complex with full length, wild type human  $\beta_2m$ .

#### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE FIGURES

35 **Figure 1A-1D.** Cell-surface labeling of HFE and association with Tfr. (Fig. 1A) HFE antibodies immunoprecipitate 12, 49, 100, and 200 kDa surface-labeled proteins from wild-type HFE expressing cells but not from parental 293 or C282Y HFE mutant expressing cells. (Fig. 1B) FLAG epitope antibodies also immunoprecipitate 12, 49, 100,  
40 and 200 kDa surface-labeled proteins in wild-type HFE expressing cells but not parental 293 or C282Y HFE mutant

expressing cells. (Fig. 1C) TfR antibodies immunoprecipitate 100 and 200 kDa surface-labeled proteins from parental 293, wild-type and C282Y HFE expressing cells and in addition, detect  $\beta_2m$  (12 kDa) and HFE (49 kDa) proteins only in wild-type HFE expressing cells. (Fig. 1D) HLA-ABC antibodies fail to immunoprecipitate 100 and 200 kDa proteins from parental 293 cells.

**Figure 2A-2E.** Direct association of TfR with HFE. (Fig. 2A) HFE antibodies co-immunoprecipitate TfR from wild-type and H63D HFE expressing cells but not 293 or C282Y HFE mutant expressing cells. (Fig. 2B) HFE antibodies immunoprecipitate similar amounts of HFE protein from wild-type, C282Y and H63D HFE expressing cells. (Fig. 2C) TfR antibodies co-immunoprecipitate HFE from wild-type and H63D HFE expressor cells but not parental 293 or C282Y mutant expressing cells. (Fig. 2D) TfR antibodies immunoprecipitate similar amounts of TfR protein from parental 293, and wild-type, C282Y and H63D HFE expressing cells. (Fig. 2E) FLAG epitope (M2) antibodies co-immunoprecipitate TfR from wild-type and H63D HFE expressing cells but not parental 293 or C282Y HFE mutant expressing cells.

**Figure 3A-3C.** Effect of HFE on  $^{125}I$ -transferrin binding to the TfR. (Fig. 3A) Transferrin binding to TfR in cells that over-express the C282Y mutant protein (intracellular) (inset). Cells (clone 10 open squares and clone 12, closed squares) were incubated with various concentrations of transferrin at 37 °C for 20 mins. The data represent the mean of duplicate determinations corrected for non-specific binding. Scatchard analysis revealed an apparent  $K_D$  of approximately 14 and 12 nM respectively, with the number of apparent transferrin binding sites of  $2 \times 10^5$  and  $3 \times 10^5$  per cell. (Fig. 3B) Binding of  $^{125}I$ -transferrin to two clones of 293 cells overexpressing the wild type (surface) form of HFE (clone 7, open circles; clone 3, closed circles). Saturation of the transferrin receptors occurred at approximately the same concentration as in (Fig. 3A), however,

the amount of transferrin bound was reduced 2-4 fold (inset).  
Scatchard analysis revealed that the affinity for transferrin  
had been reduced to 180 and 40 nM, and number of apparent  
transferrin binding sites of  $9.0 \times 10^4$  to  $2.5 \times 10^5$  per cell.

5 (Fig. 3C) Binding of  $^{125}\text{I}$ -transferrin to 293 cells in the  
presence of soluble HFE/ $\beta_2\text{m}$  heterodimers. 293 cells bind  
transferrin at 37 °C, with an apparent  $K_D$  of 19 nM (open  
squares), whereas in the presence of 2  $\mu\text{M}$  of soluble HFE/ $\beta_2\text{m}$   
heterodimers, the  $K_D$  is reduced 5 fold to 100 nM (open  
10 triangles). Control experiments using an identical amount of  
an MHC class I, H-2K<sup>d</sup> 15 protein complexed with human  $\beta_2\text{m}$   
failed to have any affect of transferrin binding (closed  
circles).

#### 15 SPECIFIC EMBODIMENTS OF THE INVENTION

In some embodiments of the invention, HFE  
polypeptides are provided for therapeutic use in patients  
having symptoms of a primary iron overload disease or  
syndrome, such as hemochromatosis, or other iron overload  
20 condition caused by secondary causes, such as repeated  
transfusions. The HFE polypeptide can be full length HFE or  
some fragment of HFE. Preferably, the HFE polypeptide  
comprises the extracellular portion of the HFE. The predicted  
amino acid sequence and genomic and cDNA sequences of HFE  
25 (also denoted HH in some publications) were provided in  
(Feder, J.N., et al. Nature Genetics 13:399-408 (1996); Ruddy  
et al., Genome Res. 7:441-456 (1997)), hereby incorporated by  
reference in its entirety. The HFE polypeptides may be  
administered with  $\beta$ -2-microglobulin, such as in the form of a  
30 complex. In some embodiments, HFE polypeptides greater than  
about 20 amino acids are administered in a complex with  $\beta$ -2-  
microglobulin.

In some embodiments of the invention, agonists or  
antagonists of the HFE protein or transferrin receptor are  
35 provided. Agonists of the HFE polypeptide, and/or antagonists  
of the transferrin receptor, are useful for example, in the

5 treatment of primary or secondary iron overload diseases or  
syndromes, while antagonists of the HFE polypeptide, or  
agonists of the transferrin receptor are useful, for example,  
in the treatment of iron deficiency conditions, such as  
anemias. In other embodiments, mutant HFE proteins are  
provided which function as antagonists of the wild-type HFE  
protein. In a specific embodiment illustrated by working  
examples HFE antagonists include a soluble truncated HFE  
polypeptide in which a His residue is substituted by an Asp  
residue at position 63, and a soluble truncated HFE  
polypeptide in which His residues at positions 111 and 145 are  
substituted by an Ala residue. Antagonists or agonists can  
also be antibodies, preferably monoclonal antibodies, directed  
against the transferrin receptor or extracellular region of  
the HFE polypeptide. In some embodiments of the invention,  
HFE polypeptides can serve as antagonists of the transferrin  
receptor. In further embodiments of the invention,  
peptidomimetics can be designed using techniques well known in  
the art as antagonists or agonists of the HFE protein and/or  
the transferrin receptor.

Ligands for the transferrin receptor, whether  
antagonists or agonists, can be screened using the techniques  
described herein for the ability to bind to the transferrin  
receptor. Additionally, competition for HFE binding to the  
receptor can be done using techniques well known in the art.  
Ligands, or more generally, binding partners for the HFE  
polypeptide can be screened, for example, for the ability to  
inhibit the complexing of the HFE polypeptide to  $\beta$ -2-  
microglobulin, using techniques described herein.

In some embodiments of the invention, agonists or  
antagonists of transferrin are similarly utilized to increase  
or decrease the amount of iron transported into a cell, such  
as into a patient's hepatocytes or lymphocytes.

For example, the efficacy of a drug, therapeutic  
agent, agonist, or antagonist can be identified in a screening  
program in which modulation is monitored in *in vitro* cell

systems. Host cell systems which express various mutant HFE proteins (especially the 24d1 and 24d2 mutations) and are suited for use as primary screening systems. Candidate drugs can be evaluated by incubation with these cells and measuring cellular functions dependent on the HFE gene or by measuring proper HFE protein folding or processing. Such assays might also entail measuring receptor-like activity, iron transport and metabolism, gene transcription or other upstream or downstream biological function as dictated by studies of HFE gene function.

Alternatively, cell-free systems can also be utilized. Purified HFE protein can be reconstituted into artificial membranes or vesicles and drugs screened in a cell-free system. Such systems are often more convenient and are inherently more amenable to high throughput types of screening and automation.

In some embodiments of the invention, the HFE protein can be purified by one of several methods which have been selected based upon the molecular properties revealed by its sequence and its homology to MHC Class I molecules. Since the molecule possesses properties of an integral membrane protein, i.e. contains a transmembrane domain, the protein is preferably first isolated from the membrane fraction of cells using detergent solubilization. A variety of detergents useful for this purpose are well known in the art.

Once solubilized, the HFE protein can be further purified by conventional affinity chromatography techniques. The conventional approaches of ion exchange, hydrophobic interaction, and/or organomercurial chromatographies can be utilized. These methodologies take advantage of natural features of the primary structure, such as: charged amino acid residues, hydrophobic transmembrane domains, and sulfhydryl-containing cysteine residues, respectively. In the affinity chromatography approach use is made of immunoaffinity ligands or of the proposed interaction of the HFE protein with  $\beta$ -2-microglobulin, calnexin or similar molecules. In the

former, the affinity matrix consists of antibodies (polyclonal or monoclonal) specific to the HFE protein coupled to an inert matrix. The production of antibodies specific to the HFE protein can be performed using techniques well known in the art. In the latter method, various ligands which are proposed to specifically interact with the HFE protein based on its homology with MHC Class I molecules could be immobilized on an inert matrix. For example,  $\beta$ -2-microglobulin,  $\beta$ -2-microglobulin-like molecules, or other specific proteins such as calnexin or calnexin-like molecules, and the like, or portions and/or fragments thereof, can be utilized. General methods for preparation and use of affinity matrices are well known in the art.

Criteria for the determination of the purity of the HFE protein include those standard to the field of protein chemistry. These include N-terminal amino acid determination, one and two-dimensional polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis, and silver staining. The purified protein is useful for use in studies related to the determination of secondary and tertiary structure, as aid in drug design, and for *in vitro* study of the biological function of the molecule.

In some embodiments of the invention, drugs can be designed to modulate HFE gene and HFE protein activity from knowledge of the structure and function correlations of HFE protein and from knowledge of the specific defect in various HFE mutant proteins. For this, rational drug design by use of X-ray crystallography, computer-aided molecular modeling (CAMP), quantitative or qualitative structure-activity relationship (QSAR), and similar technologies can further focus drug discovery efforts. Rational design allows prediction of protein or synthetic structures which can interact with and modify the HFE protein activity. Such structures may be synthesized chemically or expressed in biological systems. This approach has been reviewed in Capsey et al., Genetically Engineered Human Therapeutic Drugs, Stockton Press, New York (1988). Further, combinatorial



libraries can be designed, synthesized and used in screening programs.

In order to administer therapeutic agents based on, or derived from, the present invention, it will be appreciated that suitable carriers, excipients, and other agents may be incorporated into the formulations to provide improved transfer, delivery, tolerance, and the like.

A multitude of appropriate formulations can be found in the formulary known to all pharmaceutical chemists:

Remington's Pharmaceutical Sciences, (15th Edition, Mack Publishing Company, Easton, Pennsylvania (1975)), particularly Chapter 87, by Blaug, Seymour, therein. These formulations include for example, powders, pastes, ointments, jelly, waxes, oils, lipids, anhydrous absorption bases, oil-in-water or water-in-oil emulsions, emulsions carbowax (polyethylene glycols of a variety of molecular weights), semi-solid gels, and semi-solid mixtures containing carbowax.

Any of the foregoing formulations may be appropriate in treatments and therapies in accordance with the present invention, provided that the active agent in the formulation is not inactivated by the formulation and the formulation is physiologically compatible.

The present invention also relates to the use of polypeptide or protein replacement therapy for those individuals determined to have a defective HFE gene. Treatment of HH disease can be performed by replacing the defective HFE protein with normal protein or its functional equivalent in therapeutic amounts. A therapeutic amount of an HFE polypeptide for "replacement therapy", an HFE agonist, or transferrin receptor antagonist is an amount sufficient to decrease the amount of iron transported into a cell. Preferably, the cell is a lymphocyte.

Similarly, a therapeutic amount of an HFE antagonist or transferrin receptor agonist is an amount sufficient to increase the amount of iron transported into a cell.

HFE polypeptide can be prepared for therapy by any of several conventional procedures. First, HFE protein can be produced by cloning the HFE cDNA into an appropriate expression vector, expressing the HFE gene product from this vector in an *in vitro* expression system (cell-free or cell-based) and isolating the HFE protein from the medium or cells of the expression system. General expression vectors and systems are well known in the art. In addition, the invention envisions the potential need to express a stable form of the HFE protein in order to obtain high yields and obtain a form readily amenable to intravenous administration. Stable high yield expression of proteins have been achieved through systems utilizing lipid-linked forms of proteins as described in Wettstein et al. J Exp Med 174:219-228 (1991) and Lin et al. Science 249:677-679 (1990).

HFE protein or portions thereof can be prepared synthetically. Alternatively, the HFE protein can be prepared from total protein samples by affinity chromatography. Sources would include tissues expressing normal HFE protein, *in vitro* systems (outlined above), or synthetic materials. The affinity matrix would consist of antibodies (polyclonal or monoclonal) coupled to an inert matrix. In addition, various ligands which specifically interact with the HFE protein could be immobilized on an inert matrix, such as  $\beta$ -2-microglobulin or portions thereof,  $\beta$ -2-microglobulin-like molecules, or other specific proteins such as calnexin and calnexin-like molecules or portions thereof. General methods for preparation and use of affinity matrices are well known in the art.

Protein replacement therapy requires that HFE polypeptides be administered in an appropriate formulation. The HFE polypeptides can be formulated in conventional ways standard to the art for the administration of protein substances. Delivery may require packaging in lipid-containing vesicles (such as Lipofectin™ or other cationic or anionic lipid or certain surfactant proteins) that facilitate incorporation into the cell membrane. The HFE

protein formulations can be delivered to affected tissues by different methods depending on the affected tissue. For example, iron absorption is initiated in the GI tract. Therefore, delivery by catheter or other means to bypass the stomach would be desirable. In other tissues, IV delivery will be the most direct approach.

The following examples are provided to illustrate certain aspects of the present invention and not intended as limiting the subject matter thereof:

## EXPERIMENTAL EXAMPLES

### A. Introduction

In this experimental example, we demonstrated that HFE forms a stable complex with the transferrin receptor (TfR), the molecule responsible for receptor-mediated endocytosis of iron-bound transferrin. This interaction, assessed both in cultured cells by over-expression of HFE and also by addition of soluble HFE/ $\beta_2m$  heterodimers, causes a decrease in the apparent affinity of the TfR for transferrin. In contrast, the disease-causing mutation (C282Y) fails to form this TfR complex permitting high affinity binding of transferrin. These results established the first molecular link between HFE and iron absorption and indicate that an altered regulation of transferrin-dependent iron uptake leads to HFE disease.

### B. Methods

**1. Cell surface protein biotinylations.** Cells ( $4 \times 10^6$ ) were seeded into 100 mm dishes and grown overnight to 80% confluency. The plates were moved to 4 °C and gently washed four times with PBS. Sulfo-NHS-LC Biotin (Pierce) was added in PBS to a final concentration of 500  $\mu$ g/ml and incubated on ice for 30 mins. The Biotin reagent was removed and the plates washed 4 times with PBS containing 50 mM glycine. Cells were lysed in 500ml of 25mM Tris-HCL, pH 7.5 150 mM NaCl plus 0.5% NP-40. Protein concentrations were determined by

BCA assay (Pierce) and one mg of protein was pre-cleared with Protein-G-Sepharose (Pharmacia) and immunoprecipitated with either 10 mg of an anti-HFE rabbit polyclonal antibody (CT1) (Feder, J.N., et al. J. Biol. Chem. 272:14025-14028 (1997)),  
5 50 µg of FLAG (M2) monoclonal antibody (Kodak), 5µg of transferrin receptor monoclonal antibody (Caltag) or 10 µg of HLA-ABC antibody (Immunotech). Precipitated proteins were separated on 4-20% Tris-glycine polyacrylamide gels (Novex), electroblotted to PVDF membranes (Novex) and biotinylated  
10 proteins were visualized with 2µg/ml of streptavidin-HRP (Pierce) followed by ECL detection reagents (Amersham).

2. Immunoprecipitations and western blotting. Cells were lysed in the same buffer as above and precipitations carried  
15 out with the same antibodies and concentrations except that no pre-clearing step was carried out. Precipitated proteins were separated and electroblotted to PVDF membranes as previously described (Feder, J.N., et al. J. Biol. Chem. 272:14025-14028 (1997)).

3. Transferrin binding assays. Transferrin binding assays were carried out as essentially as described (Ward, J.H. et al., J. Biol. Chem. 257:10317-10323 (1982)) with the following modifications. Cells were seeded at a density of 6  
25  $\times 10^5$  per well in 6-well dishes coated with 0.01 % fibronectin (Sigma) and grown overnight. Cells were washed 1 time with 2 ml of DME-H21 media containing 1 % FBS and then incubated at either 37 °C or on ice with varying concentrations of transferrin which include [ $^{125}$ I]-diferric transferrin (1  
30 mCi/mg) (NEN) as a tracer (1/30<sup>th</sup> of the final concentration) in a final volume of 750µl. To determine the amount of non-specific transferrin binding, cells were simultaneously incubated under the same conditions but in the presence of 100 times the molar concentration of cold holo-transferrin  
35 (Sigma). After 20 mins (37 °C) or 90 mins (4 °C), the media was removed and counted in a Beckman 9600 scintillation

counter. The cells were incubated on ice and washed 2 times with media containing 1% FBS, and then lysed with 1% SDS and counted. Specific binding was calculated by subtracting the non-specific binding from the total binding. A second method was also used that utilized a constant amount of labeled transferrin (10nM) and increasing amount of unlabeled transferrin to increase the total transferrin concentration. Identical results to those produced by the first method were obtained.

#### 4. Expression and purification of secreted HFE.

A secreted HFE/ $\beta_2m$  heterodimer was constructed as follows, wherein the amino acid sequence of the HFE is shown (SEQ ID NO:1):

```
RLLRSHSLHYLFMGASEQDLGLSLFEALGYVDDQLFVFDHESRRVEPRTPW
VSSRISSQMWLQLSQSLKGWDHMFVDFWTIMENHNHHSKESHTLQVILGCEM
QEDNSTEGYWKYGYDGQDHLEFCPDTLDWRAAEPRAWPTKLEWERHKIRARQ
NRAYLERDCPAQLQQLLELGRGVLDQQVPLVKVTHHVTSSVTTLRCRALNY
YPQNITMKWLKDKQPMDAKEFEPKDVLPNGDGTQGWITLAVPPGEEQRYTC
QVEHPGLDQPLIVIWE
```

A 5' Xho I site, a stop codon after the codon corresponding to amino acid 298 (residue 276 of the mature protein) and a 3' Not I site were inserted in the HFE gene by site-directed mutagenesis. After verifying the sequence, the modified HFE gene was subcloned into the expression vector PBJ5-GS that carries the glutamine synthetase gene as a selectable marker and as a means of gene amplification in the presence of the drug methionine sulfoximine (Bebbingtion, C.R. & Hentschel, C.G.G. in DNA Cloning: A Practical Approach. (ed. Glover, DM) 163-188 (Oxford: IL, 1987)). The HFE expression plasmid was cotransfected with a human  $\beta_2m$  expression vector (i.e., full length, wild type  $\beta_2m$ , Fahnestock, M.L., et al. Immunity 3:583-590 (1995)) into CHO cells. Cell lines secreting HFE/ $\beta_2m$  heterodimers were identified by immunoprecipitation of supernatants of  $^{35}S$ -methionine metabolically labeled cells using an antibody against human  $\beta_2m$  (BBM.1) (Parham, P. et al., J. Biol. Chem. 258:6179-6186 (1983)). A protein of

molecular mass of 43 kDa was co-immunoprecipitated with labeled  $\beta_2m$  from the supernatants, and was verified to be the truncated HFE polypeptide chain by N-terminal sequencing of the purified protein (yielding the sequences RLLRSHSLHYLF (SEQ ID NO:4) and IQRTPKIQVYSR (SEQ ID NO:5) corresponding to the correctly processed mature forms of HFE and human  $\beta_2m$ ; data not shown). Soluble HFE/ $\beta_2m$  heterodimers were purified on a BBM.1 immunoaffinity column, followed by separation of free  $\beta_2m$  from the heterodimers on a Superdex <sup>(tm)</sup> 75 HR 10/30 FPLC gel filtration column or by using an immunoaffinity column constructed with an HFE monoclonal antibody raised against the purified heterodimer. 0.25 mg of purified secreted HFE, FcRn and UL18 were treated with acetic acid and analysed for the presence of bound peptides using established methods (Rotzschke, O., et al. Nature 348:252-257 (1990)) as previously described for UL18 (Fahnestock, M.L., et al. Immunity 3:583-590 (1995)) and FcRn (Raghavah, M. et al., Biochemistry 32:8654-8660 (1993)). Acid eluates were analyzed by Edman degradation using an Applied Biosystems Model 477A protein sequencer for pool sequencing (Table 1). In order to detect N-terminally blocked peptides, the HFE and FcRn eluates were analyzed by matrix-assisted, laser desorption, time-of-flight mass spectrometry using a PersSeptive Biosystems ELITE mass spectrometer.

Site-directed mutation was used to introduce a missense mutation to the HFE gene to produce HFE protein in which His-63 is replaced by an aspartic acid residue. The H63D mutant HFE gene was expressed in CHO cells and secreted H63D-HFE/ $\beta_2m$  heterodimers were purified. The amino acid sequence of the H63D-HFE protein is shown (SEQ ID NO:2):

RLLRSHSLHYLFMGASEQDLGLSLFEALGYVDDQLFVFDDESRRVEPRTPW  
VSSRISSQMWLQLSLSLKGWDHMFVDFWTIMENHNHNSKESHTLQVILGCEM  
QEDNSTEGYWKYGYDGDHLEFCPDTLDWRAAEPRAWPTKLEWERHKIRARQ  
NRAYLERDCPAQLQQLLELGRGVLDQVPPPLVKVTHVHTSSVTTLRCRALNY  
YPQNITMKWLKDKQPMDAKEFEPKDVLPNGDGTYQGWITLAVPPGEEQRYTC  
QVEHPGLDQPLIVIWE

The role of two His residues, *i.e.*, His-111 and His-145, in HFE-TfR binding was also analyzed by replacing both residues with an Ala residue through site-directed mutagenesis, yielding a soluble H111A/H145A mutant. The amino acid sequence of the H111A/H145A-HFE protein is shown (SEQ ID NO:3):

RLLRSHSLHYLFMGASEQDLGLSLFEALGYVDDQLFVFYDHESRRVEPRTPW  
 VSSRISSQMWLQLSQSLKGWDHMFVDFWTIMENHNASKESHTLQVILGCEM  
 QEDNSTEGYWKYGYDGQDALEFCPDTLDWRAAEPRAWPTKLEWERHKIRARQ  
 NRAYLERDCPAQLQQLLELGRGVLDQQVPLVKVTHHVTSSVTTLCRALNY  
 YPQNITMKWLKDKQPMDAKEFEPKDVLPNGDGTYQGWTILAVPPGEEQRYTC  
 QVEHPGLDQPLIVIWE

Table 1. pmole of amino acids recovered from acid elutions.

<u>Cycle number</u>	<u>HFE</u>	<u>FcRn</u>	<u>UL18</u>
1	2.1	5.9	86.0
2	0.5	4.7	75.1 (Leu, Met=71)
3	0.4	0.7	36.9 (Pro= 19)
4	0.8	7.6	19.8
5	0.0	0.0	11.3
6	3.5	0.0	4.0
7	1.0	0.2	3.7
8	0.0	0.6	6.5
9	1.9	9.5	4.3
10	0.0	0.3	1.3

The total yield of amino acids from each sequencing cycle is presented for acid eluates derived from equivalent amount of soluble HFE, FcRn and UL18 heterodimers. Only those amino acid residues that showed an increase in the absolute amount recovered compared to the previous cycle were considered significant. Results for the FcRn and UL18 eluates are similar to those previously reported (Fahnestock, M.L., et al. Immunity 3:583-590 (1995); Raghavah, M. et al., Biochemistry 32:8654-8660 (1993)) in which UL18, but not FcRn, was shown to bind endogenous peptides.

### C. RESULTS-AND DISCUSSION

To investigate the role of HFE in the regulation of iron metabolism, we utilized cell-surface labeling to detect potential HFE interactive proteins. Human embryonic kidney cells (293 cells), engineered to over-express either wild-type or mutant forms of HFE, were treated with biotin-conjugated N-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS-biotin) to label proteins expressed on the cell-surface. Subsequently, total cell lysates were immunoprecipitated with previously characterized antibodies directed toward the C-terminal peptide sequence of HFE or monoclonal antibodies against the FLAG epitope tag which had been engineered into the HFE protein (Feder, J.N., et al. J. Biol. Chem. 272:14025-14028 (1997)). Biotinylated proteins were detected with streptavidin-conjugated horseradish peroxidase (HRP). Lysates from parental 293 cells displayed little surface-labeling in accordance with previous results, demonstrating undetectable levels of HFE protein in these cells (Figure 1A and B) (Feder, J.N., et al. J. Biol. Chem. 272:14025-14028 (1997)). In contrast, prominent bands of 12, 49, 100 and 200 kDa were observed in lysates from cells overexpressing the wild-type HFE; these bands were absent from immunoprecipitates from cells overexpressing the C282Y mutant form of HFE (Figure 1A and B). In previous studies demonstrated that the plasma membrane-bound form of HFE was 49 kDa in molecular mass and associated with  $\beta_2m$ , a 12 kDa protein (Feder, J.N., et al. J. Biol. Chem. 272:14025-14028 (1997)). The presence of 49 and 12 kDa labeled bands in HFE-specific immune-complexes from wild-type HFE expressing cells and their absence in parental and C282Y mutant expressing cells is consistent with their identity as HFE and  $\beta_2m$ . The failure of the 100 and 200 kDa proteins to be co-immunoprecipitated from the C282Y mutant expressing cells indicates a specific interaction of these proteins with the cell-surface form of HFE.

To determine the specificity of these protein interactions with HFE, we performed immunoprecipitations with



antibodies that recognize the related HLA-A, B and C proteins. These antibodies detected proteins at approximately 45 kDa and 12 kDa, the predicted molecular masses of HLA heavy chain and  $\beta_2m$ , but failed to co-immunoprecipitate the 100 and 200 kDa bands (Figure 1D).

To identify the 100 and 200 kDa proteins which co-immunoprecipitated with HFE, we investigated proteins known to participate in iron homeostasis. Interestingly, the major carrier of transferrin-bound iron, the transferrin receptor (TfR) is known to display a characteristic pattern of monomers and dimers migrating at approximately 100 and 200 kDa in denaturing gel electrophoresis (Seligman, P.A. et al. J. Biol. Chem. 254:9943-9946 (1979); Wada, H.G. et al., J. Biol. Chem. 254:12629-12635 (1979); Omary, M.B. et al., J. Biol. Chem. 256:12888-12892 (1981)). To determine whether HFE could associate with the TfR, we utilized TfR antibodies to immunoprecipitate surface-labeled proteins from the three cell lines. Two prominent proteins of molecular mass corresponding to the monomeric and dimeric forms of the TfR were seen in the parental 293 as well as the wild-type HFE and the C282Y mutant HFE expressing cell lines (Figure 1C). Significantly, two proteins with masses corresponding to those of HFE and  $\beta_2m$  (49 kDa and 12 kDa, respectively) were observed only in lysates from the cells which overexpress wild-type HFE but not in lysates from the parental 293 or C282Y mutant protein expressing cells.

The HFE/TfR association results were corroborated by performing co-immunoprecipitation experiments on unlabeled total cell lysates. Immunoprecipitation with HFE antibodies followed by blotting and probing with antibodies to TfR demonstrated that the TfR was complexed only with the wild-type form of HFE but not with the C282Y mutant (Figure 2A). Stripping this blot and reprobing with the FLAG epitope antibodies to detect HFE, demonstrated that equivalent amounts of HFE are being expressed and immunoprecipitated from each of the cell lines but, as expected, are absent in the parental

293 cells (Figure 2B). Performing the inverse experiment, wherein cell lysates were first immunoprecipitated with TfR antibodies followed by blotting with HFE antibodies, revealed that HFE co-immunoprecipitated with the TfR from the wild-type expressing cells but not the C282Y or parental 293 cell lines (Figure 2C). The absence of HFE in the parental 293 and C282Y mutant cell lines was not due to failure to precipitate TfR; reprobing the blot with TfR antibodies demonstrated that similar amounts of TfR protein were precipitated from each of the cell lines (Figure 2D). To further control for the specificity of the HFE antibodies, we first immunoprecipitated cell lysates with FLAG epitope antibodies to specifically precipitate the HFE/FLAG fusion proteins followed by blotting with TfR antibodies. As in Figure 2A, the TfR was co-immunoprecipitated in the wild-type HFE expressing cells but not from the C282Y mutant expressing cells (Figure 2E). Experiments performed on an independent series of cell lines engineered to express wild-type and mutant HFE which lacked the FLAG epitope tag yielded identical results to those shown in Figure 2A-2E when immunoprecipitations were carried out with HFE and TfR antibodies.

In immunoprecipitation experiments on unlabeled cell lysates we included as a further control another mutant of HFE wherein histidine 63 was replaced by aspartate (H63D). As with wild-type HFE, the H63D protein is also expressed on the cell surface (Feder, J.N., et al. J. Biol. Chem. 272:14025-14028 (1997)), however, functional effect of this mutation has yet been identified. The association of HFE with the TfR as assessed by co-immunoprecipitation appeared unaffected by the H63D mutation (Figure 2 A-E).

To assess the biological effect of the HFE/TfR interaction, we characterized the transferrin-binding properties of the TfR in the presence or absence of HFE. For these studies we examined [<sup>125</sup>I]-diferric transferrin binding to intact 293 cells engineered to over-express both  $\beta_2m$  and the wild-type or the C282Y mutant forms of HFE. The latter

served as a baseline comparison since our earlier studies demonstrated that the C282Y mutant was not expressed on the cell surface (Feder, J.N., et al. J. Biol. Chem. 272:14025-14028 (1997)), and failed to interact with the TfR (Figure 1 and 2). In addition, the C282Y cell lines, like the wild-type cell lines, were selected for in G418. The initial binding experiments were performed at 37°C, which allowed the total [<sup>125</sup>I]-diferric transferrin bound to be representative of both surface-bound and internalized ligand (Karin, M. et al., J. Biol. Chem. 256:3245-3252 (1981); Octave, J.N. et al., Eur. J. Biochem. 123:235-240 (1982). The binding of [<sup>125</sup>I]-diferric transferrin saturated at 150-300 nM on both C282Y mutant and wild-type HFE expressing cells (Figure 3A and B insets, which present data from two separate cell clones for each the wild-type and mutant HFE). When subjected to Scatchard analysis, the C282Y HFE mutant expressing clones bound transferrin with an apparent K<sub>d</sub> of approximately 12 and 14 nM and expressed approximately 2.3x10<sup>5</sup> and 3.3x10<sup>5</sup> transferrin binding sites per cell, respectively (Figure 3A). These data were similar to values reported previously for other cultured cell lines (Mulford, C.A. et al. J. Biol. Chem. 263:5455-5461 (1988); Ward, J.H. et al. J. Biol. Chem. 257:10317-10323 (1982)), suggesting that binding and trafficking of the TfR to the cell surface in the mutant HFE-expressing cells was normal. By contrast, the affinity of the TfR for transferrin, in the wild-type HFE expressing clones, was reduced 4 and 15-fold to apparent K<sub>d</sub> values of 40 and 180 nM respectively, while expressing approximately 3.0x10<sup>5</sup> apparent transferrin binding sites per cell (Figure 3B). Taken together, these results suggest that the presence or absence of the HFE protein on the cell surface affects the apparent K<sub>d</sub> of the TfR for transferrin.

As an alternative method to assess the effect of HFE on transferrin binding to the TfR, we added a soluble form of HFE/β<sub>2</sub>m heterodimer to the culture medium of parental 293 cells. At 37 °C the binding of transferrin to parental 293

cells occurred with an apparent  $K_D$  of 19 nM. In contrast, the apparent  $K_D$  for the binding of transferrin to the TfR in the presence of 2  $\mu$ M soluble HFE/ $\beta_2$ m heterodimer was reduced 5-fold to 100 nM. The apparent number of transferrin binding sites was also reduced from  $1.25 \times 10^5$  to  $5.0 \times 10^4$  per cell, a reduction of 60% (Figure 3C), suggesting that the rate of receptor internalization without bound transferrin may be increased in the presence of HFE. To determine whether the regulation of the apparent  $K_D$  of the TfR was specific for the HFE protein, we added an equivalent amount of a soluble version of a classical MHC class I protein, purified H-2K<sup>d</sup> complexed with human  $\beta_2$ m (Fahnestock, M.L. et al., Science 258:1658-1662 (1992)) to the assay. Addition of this protein had no effect on transferrin binding to the TfR (Figure 3C) demonstrating that the effect is not solely due to the presence of human  $\beta_2$ m alone. These experiments independently demonstrate that HFE can effectively lower the affinity of TfR for transferrin and that this effect appears to be a specific property of HFE.

The availability of soluble HFE/ $\beta_2$ m heterodimers permitted an investigation for other possible ligands for HFE, in particular small peptides which are known to bind class I molecules. The soluble HFE/ $\beta_2$ m heterodimers were expressed in CHO cells and analyzed for the presence of endogenous peptides by comparing amino acids recovered in acid eluates from HFE with those from other MHC-like proteins which either do or do not bind peptides (UL18 protein (Fahnestock, M.L., et al. Immunity 3:583-590 (1995)) and rat FcRn protein (Raghavah, M. et al., Biochemistry 32:8654-8660 (1993)), respectively). There was no evidence that peptides were bound to the HFE protein (See Table 1 and Methods). N-terminal protein sequencing demonstrated that no associating proteins were present with the exception of  $\beta_2$ m (see Methods). Hence, our study has identified only one significant associated polypeptide, the transferrin receptor.

The primary defects in hereditary hemochromatosis appear to be increased iron absorption in the small intestine as well as increased iron deposition in major organs. We have demonstrated that HFE forms a stable complex with the transferrin receptor with the consequence of repressing transferrin uptake. The C282Y mutation is capable of eliminating this interaction. Without being limited to any one theory, these data suggest a mechanism for iron deposition in HFE where a loss of HFE transferrin uptake-repressor function would result in increased cellular uptake of iron. However, the role of this mechanism in intestinal iron absorption is less clear. Recent immunohistochemical studies have localized HFE to the intracellular portion of the cells in the deep crypts of the duodenum (Parkkila, S., et al. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 94:2534-2539 (1997)), the same region where previous studies have localized the TfR (Banerjee, D.B. et al., Gastroenterology 91:861-869 (1986); Anderson, G.J. et al., Gastroenterology 98:576-585 (1990)). The role of the TfR in the cells of the deep crypts has long been thought to be limited to servicing the proliferative needs of these cells. In light of the association of HFE and the TfR, one must now reconsider the role of transferrin and its receptor in intestinal iron absorption. Regardless of the actual mechanism, the observations described here provide the first molecular link between HFE and iron metabolism. Furthermore, these results demonstrate that by administering HFE protein *in situ* the amount of transferrin taken up by cells can be attenuated, thereby offering a therapeutic alternative to iron-chelators utilized in iron-overload syndromes of either primary or secondary nature.

In addition, an analysis of the naturally occurring H63D HFE mutation (H41D of the mature protein) was carried out to determine its effect on the affinity of the transferrin receptor for transferrin. The purified H63D-HFE/ $\beta_2m$  heterodimers were then added to HeLa cells grown in culture and the binding and uptake of  $^{125}I$ -transferrin measured ("HeLa

cell based assay"). It was observed that H63D-HFE/ $\beta_2m$  heterodimers were 30-40% less efficient in their ability to decrease the transferrin receptor's ("TfR") affinity for transferrin when compared to normal, i.e., wild-type, HFE. At the concentration of 250 nM H63D HFE/ $\beta_2m$  heterodimers, the TfR had a  $K_b$  for transferrin of 28 nM. At the same concentration of normal HFE/ $\beta_2m$  heterodimers, the TfR had a  $K_b$  for transferrin of 40 nM. In the absence of any HFE/ $\beta_2m$  heterodimers, the TfR had a  $K_b$  for transferrin of 7 nM. These data are in agreement with results obtained from experiments in which the H63D mutant protein was overexpressed in 293 cells.

The  $K_b$  of the H63D-HFE/ $\beta_2m$  heterodimers was determined as approximately 105 nM, which is a 50% increase over that observed with the normal HFE/ $\beta_2m$  heterodimers in the same experiment. These data suggest that the H63D mutation decreases the ability of HFE to alter TfR affinity for transferrin. Therefore, in the presence of the H63D mutation, HFE binds the TfR without decreasing cellular iron uptake to the same degree as the wild-type HFE protein. Therefore, this mutant protein is useful in increasing intracellular concentrations of iron. This soluble protein is expected to bind the TfR on the cell surface and, at an appropriate concentration, out-compete the normal (wild-type) HFE protein for the formation of the HFE/TfR complex. The result would be that the TfR would increase uptake of iron-associated transferrin into the cell.

A soluble form of the H111A/H145A mutant was purified. The H111A/H145A-HFE/ $\beta_2m$  heterodimer was tested, using the HeLa cell based assay, for its ability to alter the affinity of TfR for transferrin. At neutral pH and in the presence of 250 nM H111A/H145A-HFE/ $\beta_2m$  heterodimers, the TfR bound transferrin with a  $K_b$  of 12 nM, whereas in the presence of 250 nM wild-type HFE/ $\beta_2m$  heterodimers the TfR bound transferrin with a  $K_b$  of 54 nM. In the absence of any form of HFE, TfR bound transferrin with a  $K_b$  of 5 nM. These data also

indicate that the H111A/H145A mutant form of HFE, like the H63D mutation, *supra*, can be useful to increase intracellular iron concentrations by competitively inhibiting the wild-type HFE protein for binding to TfR. Thus, the H111A/H145A mutant of HFE protein may be useful to treat iron deficiency diseases and conditions, like, for example, anemia.

All references (including books, articles, papers, patents, and patent applications) cited herein are hereby expressly incorporated by reference in their entirety for all purposes.

While the invention has been described in connection with specific embodiments thereof, it will be understood that it is capable of further modification, and this application is intended to cover any variations, uses, or adaptations of the invention following, in general, the principles of the invention and including such departures from the present disclosure as come within known or customary practice in the art to which the invention pertains and as may be applied to the essential features hereinbefore set forth, and as fall within the scope of the invention and the limits of the appended claims.